

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



ON

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,661	05/08/2001	Andreas Norbert Wiswesser	2562C1/294002	4386	
32588 7	590 05/02/2003				
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			EXAMINER		
	BLVD. M/S 2061 RA, CA 95050		ROSE, ROBERT A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723	10	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	Ų	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/851,661 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

3723

Wiswesser et al

		IIIKII	Ш

		Robert Rose	3723	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addre	253
Period 1	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). Ir		H(S) FROM after SIX (6) MONTH	S from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	I date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailir the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	ng date of this commu S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-8-01, 5	9-19-01, 2-3-03		·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		e merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-23, 34, 36-45, and 55-58	is/are	pending in the	application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fr	om consideration.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1-23, 34, 36-41, 43, 45, and 55-58		is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 42 and 44		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.
Applica	ition Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/arc	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objecte	ed to by the Ex	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) disapprov	red by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ha			
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents ha		-	<u> </u>
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burdee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a li	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	i this National :	otage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).	
-	The translation of the foreign language provision			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	0 and/or 121.	
Attachm	nent(s)			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)	
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)	
3) 📙 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/851661

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment, filed May 8, 2001.
- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's Prior Art Statement, filed September 19, 2001, and February 3, 2003, respectively.
- 3. Claims 24-33, 35, and 46-54 have been canceled.
- 4. Claims 1-23, 34, 36-45, and 55-58 are presented for examination.
- 5. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 36 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6247998. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/851661 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 8. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being clearly anticipated by Sun et al(US 6010538). Sun et al disclose all of the subject matter set forth in applicant's claim 42.

 Note the use of a light emitting diode to generate a light beam and a sensor to measure the interference signal generated by the reflection of the light from different surfaces to determine the endpoint.
- 9. Claims 1-23, 34, 36-41, 43, 45, and 55-58 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3723

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

rr

April 23, 2003.

ROBERT A. ROSE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323

.